


VALIDATING MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE BASED SYSTEMS



Els van Daalen

Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
1.1. Background of the research.....	1
1.2. PLEXUS.....	2
1.3. Medical knowledge based systems.....	3
1.3.1. Knowledge representation.....	4
1.4. Validation.....	5
1.5. Problem definition.....	6
1.6. Outline of the thesis.....	7
 Chapter 2 A review of literature on performance evaluation of medical knowledge based systems.....	 9
2.1. Introduction.....	9
2.1.1. Terminology used in the literature.....	10
2.1.2. Terminology to be used in this review.....	13
2.1.3. Subject of the review.....	16
2.2. Performance measurements.....	17
2.3. Laboratory performance evaluation.....	21
2.3.1. Selection of a goal for performance evaluation.....	21
2.3.2. Evaluation setup.....	22
2.3.3. Analysis of the results.....	29
2.3.4. Threats to the validity.....	34
2.3.5. Laboratory evaluation results.....	35
2.3.6. Results of reported laboratory evaluations.....	35
2.3.7. Conclusions.....	37
2.4. Field performance evaluation.....	38
2.4.1. Selection of a goal for the field evaluation.....	38
2.4.2. Evaluation setup.....	39
2.4.3. Analysis of the results.....	43
2.4.4. Threats to the validity.....	43
2.4.5. Field evaluation results.....	45
2.4.6. Results of reported field performance evaluations.....	45
2.4.7. Conclusions.....	47
2.5. Laboratory evaluation of human-machine systems.....	47
2.6. Comparison between laboratory and field evaluations.....	48
2.7. Conclusions.....	50

Chapter 3 Computer-assisted diagnosis and treatment planning of brachial plexus injuries. The knowledge based system PLEXUS	53
3.1. Introduction	53
3.2. The brachial plexus.....	55
3.2.1. Anatomy of the brachial plexus	55
3.2.2. Pathology of brachial plexus injuries.....	57
3.2.3. Etiology of brachial plexus injuries	61
3.2.4. Diagnosis.....	61
3.2.5. Therapy.....	64
3.3. Need for assistance.....	65
3.3.1. Objective need for assistance.....	65
3.3.2. Subjective need for assistance.....	66
3.4. Neurological advice giving systems.....	68
3.4.1. Neurological knowledge based systems.....	68
3.4.2. Knowledge based systems for brachial plexus injuries.....	71
3.4.3. Related programs	76
3.5. Knowledge based system PLEXUS	77
3.5.1. The knowledge base.....	78
3.5.2. The user interface.....	95
3.6. Preliminary validation of PLEXUS.....	97
3.6.1. Preliminary performance evaluation.....	99
3.6.2. Verification and development validation.....	104
3.7. Conclusions	106
 Chapter 4 Laboratory evaluation of the diagnostic and treatment planning performance of the medical knowledge based system PLEXUS	 109
4.1. Introduction	109
4.2. Design of the laboratory evaluation of PLEXUS.....	112
4.2.1. Goal of the evaluation study.....	112
4.2.2. Evaluation setup.....	112
4.2.3. Analysis of the results.....	121
4.3. Results	121
4.3.1. Results of the direct comparison.....	121
4.3.2. Result obtained in the blind evaluation.....	151
4.3.3. Comparison of the results after second and third round.....	161
4.4. Bias and confounding.....	163
4.5. Conclusions and recommendations.....	164

Chapter 5 Clinical evaluation of the medical knowledge based system PLEXUS.....	171
5.1. Introduction	171
5.2. Clinical performance evaluation of PLEXUS.....	172
5.2.1. Goal of the performance evaluation study	172
5.2.2. Evaluation setup.....	173
5.2.3. Analysis of the results.....	175
5.2.4. Limitations of the clinical evaluation.....	175
5.2.5. Clinical evaluation results.....	179
5.3. Usability and acceptability of the knowledge based system	188
5.3.1. Usability evaluation	189
5.3.2. Acceptability evaluation.....	201
5.4. Conclusions and recommendations.....	206
 Chapter 6 Attitudes of physicians and process-operators towards knowledge based systems.....	 211
6.1. Introduction	211
6.2. Possible causes for the lack of acceptance	213
6.2.1. Human.....	213
6.2.2. Machine.....	215
6.2.3. Human-machine interaction.....	216
6.2.4. Environment.....	218
6.3. Possibilities to improve acceptance of knowledge based systems.....	218
6.3.1. Alternative paradigms for system design.....	218
6.3.2. Improved knowledge models	220
6.3.3. Minimising effect on current working practice.....	220
6.3.4. Enhancing user friendliness	220
6.3.5. Additional features	221
6.4. User attitudes towards 'improved' knowledge based systems	221
6.4.1. User attitudes towards alternative paradigms.....	225
6.4.2. User attitudes towards improved knowledge models.....	227
6.4.3. User attitudes towards minimising effect on practice.....	229
6.4.4. User attitudes towards enhancing user friendliness	231
6.4.5. User attitudes towards additional features	233
6.4.6. Results of the open question.....	234
6.5. Conclusions	235